

1 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP  
2 ROBERT D. BECKER (Bar No. CA 160648)  
3 E-mail: [rbecker@manatt.com](mailto:rbecker@manatt.com)  
4 EUGENE L. HAHM (Bar No. CA 167596)  
5 E-mail : [ehahm@manatt.com](mailto:ehahm@manatt.com)  
6 SHAWN G. HANSEN (Bar No. CA 197033)  
7 E-mail: [shansen@manatt.com](mailto:shansen@manatt.com)  
8 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2  
9 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006  
10 Telephone: (650) 812-1300  
11 Facsimile: (650) 213-0260

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
8 and Counterclaimant  
**VISTO CORPORATION**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
VISTO CORPORATION,  
Defendant.

Case No. C-07- 3177 (MMC)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
MODIFYING COURT DEADLINE UNDER  
PATENT RULE 4-3**

VISTO CORPORATION,  
Counterclaimant,  
vs.  
RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED, and  
RESEARCH IN MOTION  
CORPORATION,  
Counterdefendants.

1 Visto Corporation and Research In Motion Limited and Research In Motion Corporation,  
 2 through their respective counsel, stipulate as follows:

- 3       1. On October 1, 2007, the parties filed a CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (Docket  
         4 No. 21) ("Case Management Order");  
       5
- 6       2. On October 9, 2007, the Court issued an order adopting with certain exceptions the  
         7 Case Management Order (Docket No. 22);  
       8
- 9       3. Under the Case Management Order, the filing of the Joint Claim Construction and  
         10 Prehearing Statement under Patent L.R. 4-3 was originally scheduled for February  
          11, 2008;  
       12
- 13       4. On January 9, 2008, the parties filed a stipulation (Docket No. 26) extending  
         14 certain Patent Local Rule deadlines, which included a request that the filing date of  
         15 the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement be moved to February 25,  
          16 2008;  
       17
- 18       5. On January 11, 2008, the Court entered an order (Docket No. 27) extending the  
         19 deadlines set forth in the parties' stipulation;  
       20
- 21       6. On February 22, 2008, the Court further extended the deadline for the filing of the  
         22 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement until March 3, 2008; and  
       23
- 24       7. The Parties believe that an additional extension of time will enable them to  
         25 complete the meet and confer process on the terms to be construed.  
       26

27       In order to complete a meaningful meet and confer on the terms to be construed, the  
 28 parties hereby agree, subject to approval by the Court, to the following modifications to the Case  
       Management Order:

- 29       1. The date for filing the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement under  
         30 Patent L.R. 4-3 shall be extended from March 3, 2008 to March 17, 2008;  
       31
- 32       2. This stipulation is limited to compliance with Patent L.R. 4-3 and does not relate  
         33 to any other phase of this case; and  
       34
- 35       3. No other deadlines under the Case Management Order are affected by this  
       36

1 stipulation.

2  
3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4 DATED: March 3, 2008

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

6 By: /s/ Eugene L. Hahm.  
7 Eugene L. Hahm  
8 *Attorneys for Defendant and  
Counterclaimant*  
9 VISTO CORPORATION

10  
11 DATED: March 3, 2008

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

12 By: /s/ Philip T. Chen  
13 Philip T. Chen  
14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and  
Counterdefendants*  
15 RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED, and  
RESEARCH IN MOTION CORPORATION

16 *Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures,  
Eugene Hahm hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.*

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## ORDER

Good cause appearing, the parties' stipulation is hereby GRANTED. The deadlines for compliance with Patent L.R. 4-3 as set forth in the stipulation are modified as follows:

Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement under Patent L.R. 4-3	March 17, 2008
--	----------------

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2008

HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20197723.1